

Representations of $N = 2$ superconformal vertex algebra

Dražen Adamović

Introduction

In the last few years $N = 2$ superconformal algebra has attracted very much interests. The most important step in the representation theory of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra was made in the series of papers [FST], [ST], [FSST]. It was proved that certain categories of modules of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra and affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$ are equivalent [FST]. The structure of the singular vectors in highest weight representations and embedding diagrams for Verma modules is also very much understood (cf. [D], [ST]).

In this paper we will investigate the representation theory of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra from the vertex algebra point of view. On the irreducible highest weight module L_c exists a natural structure of vertex operator superalgebra (SVOA) (cf. Section 1). We will classify all irreducible L_c -modules. The problem of the classification of irreducible L_c -modules was initiated by Eholzer and Gaberdiel in [EG]. They proved that if L_c is rational SVOA, then L_c has to be unitary representations, i.e. $c = \frac{3m}{m+2}$ for $m \in \mathbf{N}$. They used the theory of Zhu's algebra $A(L_c)$, and calculated it in some special cases. Unfortunately, the complicated structure of singular vectors makes the explicit determination of Zhu's algebra $A(L_c)$ extremely difficulty, and they didn't get the complete classification result.

Instead of explicit calculation of Zhu's algebra, for problem of the classification of irreducible L_c -modules we use the equivalence of categories from [FST]. We interpret the Kazama-Suzuki and anti Kazama-Suzuki mapping from [FST] in the language of vertex algebras and get embedding results between certain simple vertex operator (super)algebras (cf. Sections 4, 5). In this way we can use the representation theory of VOA $L(m, 0)$ associated to

admissible $A_1^{(1)}$ -representation from [AM]. As a result we get the complete classification of irreducible L_c -modules.

Let us explain the classification result in more details. For $m = \frac{t}{u}$ admissible set $c_m = \frac{3m}{m+2}$, $N = 2u + t - 2$ and

$$S^m = \{n - k(m+2) \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}_+, n \leq N, k \leq u-1\}.$$

Let

$$W^{c_m} = \left\{ \left(\frac{jk - \frac{1}{4}}{m+2}, \frac{j-k}{m+2} \right) \mid j, k \in \mathbf{N}_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0 < j, k, j+k \leq N+1 \right\},$$

and if $m \notin \mathbf{N}$ let

$$D^{c_m} = \{(h, q) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \mid q^2 + \frac{4h}{m+2} = \frac{r(r+2)}{(m+2)^2}, r \in S^m \setminus \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Note that the set W^{c_m} is finite and the set D^{c_m} is union of finitely many rational curves.

Let $L_{h,q,c}$ denotes the irreducible highest weight $N=2$ -module with the highest weight (h, q, c) (see Section 1.).

Theorem 0.1 *Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the set*

$$\{L_{h,q,c_m} \mid (h, q) \in W^{c_m}\}$$

provides all irreducible L_{c_m} -modules.

If $m \in \mathbf{N}$ then $L_{h,q,c_m}, (h, q) \in W^{c_m}$ are all unitary representations with the central charge c_m . So, in that case the irreducible L_{c_m} modules coincide with the unitary representations for $N=2$ superconformal algebra.

Theorem 0.2 *Let $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ is admissible and $m \notin \mathbf{N}$. Then the set*

$$\{L_{h,q,c_m} \mid (h, q) \in W^{c_m} \cup D^{c_m}\}$$

provides all irreducible L_{c_m} -modules.

SVOA L_{c_m} , $m \notin \mathbf{N}$, has uncountably many non-isomorphic irreducible representations which have a nice description as a union of finite set W^{c_m} and the infinite set D^{c_m} which is described with finitely many rational curves.

1 $N = 2$ superconformal vertex algebra

In this section we will show that on the vacuum representations of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra exists a natural structure of vertex operator superalgebra. This result follows from the results on local generating fields of SVOAs (cf. [K], [Li], [P]). This structure was already studied in [EG].

$N = 2$ superconformal algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is the infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebra with basis $L_n, T_n, G_r^\pm, C$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}$ and (anti)commutation relations given by

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{C}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0} \\ [L_m, G_r^\pm] &= \left(\frac{1}{2}m - r\right)G_{m+r}^\pm \\ [L_m, T_n] &= -nT_{n+m} \\ [T_m, T_n] &= \frac{C}{3}m\delta_{m+n,0} \\ [T_m, G_r^\pm] &= \pm G_{m+r}^\pm \\ \{G_r^+, G_r^-\} &= 2L_{r+s} + (r - s)T_{r+s} + \frac{C}{3}\left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)\delta_{r+s,0} \\ [L_m, C] &= [T_n, C] = [G_r^\pm, C] = 0 \\ \{G_r^+, G_s^+\} &= \{G_r^-, G_s^-\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $r, s \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}$.

We denote the Verma module generated from a highest weight vector $|h, q, c\rangle$ with L_0 eigenvalue h , T_0 eigenvalue q and central charge c by $M_{h,q,c}$. An element $v \in M_{h,q,c}$ is called singular vector if

$$L_n v = T_n v = G_r^\pm v = 0, \quad n, r + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbf{N},$$

and v is an eigenvector of L_0 and T_0 . Let $J_{h,q,c}$ be the maximal $U(\mathcal{A})$ -submodule in $M_{h,q,c}$. Then

$$L_{h,q,c} = \frac{M_{h,q,c}}{J_{h,q,c}}$$

is the irreducible highest weight module.

Now we will consider the Verma module $M_{0,0,c}$. One easily sees that for every $c \in \mathbf{C}$

$$G_{-\frac{1}{2}}^\pm |0, 0, c\rangle$$

are the singular vectors in $M_{0,0,c}$. Set

$$V_c = \frac{M_{0,0,c}}{U(\mathcal{A})G_{-\frac{1}{2}}^+|0,0,c\rangle + U(\mathcal{A})G_{-\frac{1}{2}}^-|0,0,c\rangle}.$$

Then V_c is a highest weight $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the highest weight vector. Let $L_c = L_{0,0,c}$ be the corresponding simple module. Define the following four vectors in V_c :

$$\tau^\pm = G_{-\frac{3}{2}}^\pm \mathbf{1}, \quad j = T_{-1} \mathbf{1}, \quad \nu = L_{-2} \mathbf{1},$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} G^+(z) &= Y(\tau^+, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} G_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^+ z^{-n-2}, \\ G^-(z) &= Y(\tau^-, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} G_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^- z^{-n-2}, \\ L(z) &= Y(\nu, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}, \\ T(z) &= Y(j, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} T_n z^{-n-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

It is easy to see that the fields $G^+(z)$, $G^-(z)$, $L(z)$, $T(z)$ are mutually local and the theory of local fields (cf. [K], [Li], [P]) implies the following result.

Proposition 1.1 *There is a unique extension of the fields (1.1) such that V_c becomes vertex operator superalgebra (SVOA). Moreover, L_c is a simple SVOA.*

Definition 1.1 *Let V be SVOA. We will say that V is $N = 2$ SVOA if there exist vectors $\tau^\pm, \nu, j \in V$ such that components of the fields $Y(\tau^\pm, z)$, $Y(\nu, z)$, $Y(j, z)$ span $N = 2$ superconformal algebra.*

Previous definition has the following obvious but important consequence.

Corrolary 1.1 *Assume that V is $N = 2$ SVOA. Then we have the following:*

- (1) V is a $U(\mathcal{A})$ -module.
- (2) V is a module for the SVOA V_c .
- (3) $U(\mathcal{A}).1$ is a subalgebra of V , isomorphic to V_c or to certain quotient of V_c .

In what follows will present one construction of $N = 2$ SVOA.

Remark 1.1 In [Z], Zhu constructed an associative algebra $A(V)$ for an arbitrary VOA V and established a one to one correspondence between irreducible representations of V and irreducible representation of $A(V)$. V. Kac and W. Wang extended in [KWn] the definition of Zhu's algebra to the case of SVOAs. In our case, one can show that the Zhu's algebra $A(V_c)$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$, and Zhu's algebra $A(L_c)$ is a certain quotient of $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$. This will imply that every irreducible L_c -module has to be the irreducible highest weight $U(\mathcal{A})$ -module. Eholzer and Gaberdiel in [EG] starting from physical motivated definition of Zhu's algebra showed that for non-generic c $A(L_c) = \mathbf{C}[x, y]/I$, where I is an ideal in $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$ generated by two polynomials $p_1(x, y)$, $p_2(x, y)$. They also calculated p_1 , p_2 for some special cases (see Table 3.1 in [EG]).

2 Vertex operator algebras associated to affine Lie algebra $\hat{sl}_2$

In this section we recall the classification of the irreducible modules for VOAs associated to affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$ obtained by the author and A. Milas in [AM].

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra over $\mathbf{C}$. The affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}c$$

with the usual commutation relations. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- + \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{n}_+$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_- + \hat{\mathfrak{h}} + \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ be the usual triangular decompositions for $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $P = \mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbf{C}c$ be upper parabolic subalgebra. Let U be any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Considering U as a P -module, we defined the induced module (so called generalized Verma module) $M(m, U) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(P)} U$, where the central element c acts as multiplication with $m \in \mathbf{C}$. Clearly $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $M(m, U)$ contains a maximal submodule which intersect U trivially. Let $L(m, U)$ be the corresponding quotient. If U is an irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$ -module, then $L(m, U)$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module at the level m . For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ with $V(\lambda)$ we denote the irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Set $M(m, \lambda) = M(m, V(\lambda))$. Let $L(m, \lambda)$ denotes its irreducible quotient.

Theorem 2.1 ([FZ]) *Every $M(m, 0)$ $m \neq -g$ (where g denotes dual Coxeter number) has the structure of VOA. Let U be any $\mathfrak{g}$ -module. Then every $M(m, U)$ is a module for $M(m, 0)$. In particular $M(m, \lambda)$ is $M(m, 0)$ -module. On the irreducible highest weeight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(m, 0)$ exists the structure of simple VOA.*

Let now $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$, with generators e, f, h and relations $[e, f] = 2h$, $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$. Let Λ_0, Λ_1 denote the fundamental weights for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let ω_1 the fundamental weight for $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $r \in \mathbf{C}$. Then $L(m, V(r\omega_1)) = L(m, r\omega_1)$ is the irreducible highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module with the highest weight $(m - r)\Lambda_0 + r\Lambda_1$.

Definition 2.1 *A rational number $m = t/u$ is called admissible if $u \in \mathbf{N}$, $t \in \mathbf{Z}$, $(t, u) = 1$ and $2u + t - 2 \geq 0$.*

Let $m = t/u \in \mathbf{Q}$ be admissible. We define the following set of weights at the level m :

$$P^m = \{ \lambda_{m,k,n} = (m - n + k(m + 2))\Lambda_0 + (n - k(m + 2))\Lambda_1, \\ k, n \in \mathbf{Z}_+, n \leq 2u + t - 2, k \leq u - 1 \}.$$

Set

$$S^m = \{ n - k(m + 2) | k, n \in \mathbf{Z}_+, n \leq 2u + t - 2, k \leq u - 1 \}.$$

Remark 2.1 *The weights $\lambda \in P^m$ was introduced in [KW]. The corresponding modules are called admissible.*

The classification of irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -modules was given in [AM]. Recall the following result.

Theorem 2.2 [AM, Theorem 3.5.3] *The set*

$$\{L(m, r\omega_1) \mid r \in S^m\} \quad (2.1)$$

provides all irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -modules from the category $\mathcal{O}$.

Remark 2.2 *Previous theorem was also proved in [DLM2].*

Theorem 2.2 shows that admissible representations of level m for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be identified with the irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -modules in the category $\mathcal{O}$. In the case when $m \in \mathbf{N}$ representations defined with (2.1) are all irreducible modules for VOA $L(m, 0)$. In the case $m \notin \mathbf{N}$ and m admissible, VOA $L(m, 0)$ has uncountably many irreducible representations outside the category $\mathcal{O}$. The classification of irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -modules in the category of weight modules was given in [AM]. We will now recall the classification result.

For every $r, s \in \mathbf{C}$ define $E_{r,s} = t^s \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Set $E_i = t^{s+i}$. We define $U(\mathfrak{g})$ action on $E_{r,s}$:

$$e.E_i = -(s+i)E_{i-1}, \quad h.E_i = (-2s-2i+r)E_i, \quad f.E_i = (s+i-r)E_{i+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Set

$$T^m = \{ (r, s) \quad : \quad r \in S^m \setminus \mathbf{Z}, \quad s \notin \mathbf{Z}, \quad r - s \notin \mathbf{Z} \}.$$

Then $E_{r,s}$ is an irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module if $(r, s) \in T^m$.

Define $\Omega = ef + fe + \frac{1}{2}h^2$ the Casimir element element of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The proof of the following lemma is standard.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $w \in E_{r,s}$, $w \in V(r\omega_1)$ or $w \in V(r\omega_1)^*$. Then $\Omega w = \frac{r(r+2)}{2}w$.*

We recall the following results from [AM, Section 4].

Theorem 2.3 [AM] *Assume that m is admissible, $m \notin \mathbf{N}$. Let $r \in S^m \setminus \mathbf{Z}$. Then $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(m, E_{r,s})$ is an module for the VOA $L(m, 0)$. Moreover, $L(m, E_{r,s})$ is an irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -module if and only if $(r, s) \in T^m$.*

We need the following consequence of the Theorem 2.3

Corrolary 2.1 *Assume that m is admissible, $m \notin \mathbf{N}$. Let $r \in S^m \setminus \mathbf{Z}$. Then for every $\beta \in \mathbf{C}$, there exists an $L(m, 0)$ -module M and the weight vector $w \in M_0$ such that*

$$\Omega|_{M_0} = \frac{r(r+2)}{2} \text{Id}, \quad h(0)w = \beta w.$$

Proof. Let $s = \frac{r-\beta}{2}$ and $M = L(m, E_{r,s})$. Then $M_0 \cong E_{r,s}$. Set $w = E_0$. Then Lemma 2.1 and relation (2.2) imply that

$$\Omega|_{M_0} = \frac{r(r+2)}{2} \text{Id}, \quad h(0)w = \beta w. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.4 [AM] *Let $M = \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n$ be an irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -module such that M_0 is a weight $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. The M is one of the following modules:*

$$\begin{aligned} L(m, V(r\omega_1)), \quad & r \in S^m, \\ L(m, V(r\omega_1)^*), \quad & r \in S^m, \\ L(m, E_{r,s}), \quad & (r, s) \in T^m. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3 *Modules $L(m, E_{r,s})$ are not in the category $\mathcal{O}$. In particular, these modules are not irreducible quotient of any Verma modules over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. In [FST] B. L. Feigin, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu. Tipunin introduced the notion of relaxed Verma module. Our modules $L(m, E_{r,s})$ are irreducible quotients of relaxed Verma modules.*

3 Fermionic and lattice construction of vertex superalgebras

In this section we will consider vertex superalgebra F constructed from two charged fermions and vertex superalgebra F_{-1} constructed from rank one

lattice $L = \mathbf{Z}\alpha$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = -1$. In the following sections we will use vertex superalgebras F and F_{-1} for finding the connections between the representation theory of VOA $L(m, 0)$ and SVOA L_c .

3.1 Fermionic SVOA F

Recall the construction of fermionic SVOA F .

The charged free fermionic fields are

$$\Psi^\pm(z) = \sum_{i \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}} \psi_i^\pm z^{-i - \frac{1}{2}},$$

with the following commutation relations

$$\{\psi_i^+, \psi_j^-\} = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \{\psi_i^\pm, \psi_j^\pm\} = 0$$

Let F be the Fock space defined by $\psi_{i>0}^\pm \mathbf{1} = 0$. Then F is a SVOA with the central charge $c = 1$ (see [KWn] and [K] for details).

3.2 Lattice construction of vertex superalgebras

Let L be a lattice. Set $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} L$ and extend the $\mathbf{Z}$ -form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on L to $\mathbf{h}$.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbf{C}c$ be the affinization of $\mathbf{h}$ (see Section 2). We also use the notation $h(n) = t^n \otimes h$ for $h \in \mathbf{h}, n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Set

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}^+ = t\mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathbf{h}; \quad \hat{\mathbf{h}}^- = t^{-1}\mathbf{C}[t^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{h}.$$

Then $\hat{\mathbf{h}}^+$ and $\hat{\mathbf{h}}^-$ are abelian subalgebras of $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$. Let $U(\hat{\mathbf{h}}^-) = S(\hat{\mathbf{h}}^-)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\hat{\mathbf{h}}^-$. Consider the induced $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ -module

$$M(1) = U(\hat{\mathbf{h}}) \otimes_{U(\mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathbf{h} \oplus \mathbf{C}c)} \mathbf{C} \simeq S(\hat{\mathbf{h}}^-) \quad (\text{linearly}),$$

where $\mathbf{C}[t] \otimes \mathbf{h}$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{C}$ and c acts on $\mathbf{C}$ as multiplication by 1.

Let $\hat{L}$ be the canonical central extension of L by the cyclic group $\langle \pm 1 \rangle$. Form the induced $\hat{L}$ -module

$$\mathbf{C}\{L\} = \mathbf{C}[\hat{L}] \otimes_{\langle \pm 1 \rangle} \mathbf{C} \simeq \mathbf{C}[L] \quad (\text{linearly}),$$

where $\mathbf{C}[\cdot]$ denotes the group algebra and -1 acts on $\mathbf{C}$ as multiplication by -1 . For $a \in \hat{L}$, write $\iota(a)$ for $a \otimes 1$ in $\mathbf{C}\{L\}$. Then the action of $\hat{L}$ on $\mathbf{C}\{L\}$ is given by: $a \cdot \iota(b) = \iota(ab)$ and $(-1) \cdot \iota(b) = -\iota(b)$ for $a, b \in \hat{L}$.

Furthermore we define an action of $\mathbf{h}$ on $\mathbf{C}\{L\}$ by: $h \cdot \iota(a) = \langle h, \bar{a} \rangle \iota(a)$ for $h \in \mathbf{h}, a \in \hat{L}$. Define $z^h \cdot \iota(a) = z^{\langle h, \bar{a} \rangle} \iota(a)$.

The untwisted space associated with $\hat{L}$ is defined to be

$$V_L = \mathbf{C}\{L\} \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} M(1) \simeq \mathbf{C}[L] \otimes S(\hat{\mathbf{h}}^-) \text{ (linearly).}$$

Then $\hat{L}, \hat{\mathbf{h}}, z^h$ ($h \in \mathbf{h}$) act naturally on V_L by acting on either $\mathbf{C}\{L\}$ or $M(1)$ as indicated above.

For $h \in \mathbf{h}$ set $h(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} h(n) z^{-n-1}$. We use a normal ordering procedure, indicated by open colons, which signify that in the enclosed expression, all creation operators $h(n)$ ($n < 0$), $a \in \hat{L}$ are to be placed to the left of all annihilation operators $h(n), z^h$ ($h \in \mathbf{h}, n \geq 0$). For $a \in \hat{L}$, set

$$Y(\iota(a), z) = \circ e^{\int (\bar{a}(z) - \bar{a}(0)z^{-1})} a z^{\bar{a}} \circ.$$

Let $a \in \hat{L}$; $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathbf{h}; n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ($n_i > 0$). Set

$$v = \iota(a) \otimes h_1(-n_1) \cdots h_k(-n_k) \in V_L.$$

Define vertex operator

$$Y(v, z) = \circ \left(\frac{1}{(n_1 - 1)!} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^{n_1 - 1} h_1(z) \right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{(n_k - 1)!} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^{n_k - 1} h_k(z) \right) Y(\iota(a), z) \circ$$

This gives us a well-defined linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\cdot, z) : V_L &\rightarrow (\text{End } V_L)[[z, z^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}, \quad (v_n \in \text{End } V_L). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, d\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{h}$ and set

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i(-1) \alpha_i(-1) \in V_L.$$

Then $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} L_n z^{-n-2}$ gives rise to a representation of the Virasoro algebra on V_L and

$$\begin{aligned} &L_0(\iota(a) \otimes h_1(-n_1) \cdots h_k(-n_k)) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{a}, \bar{a} \rangle + n_1 + \cdots + n_k \right) (\iota(a) \otimes h_1(-n_1) \cdots h_k(-n_k)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Now we will assume that $L = \mathbf{Z}\alpha$ is a rank one lattice. The following theorem is a special case of the results of Kac [K, Proposition 5.5], and Dong and Lepowsky [DL, Remark 6.17, Remark 9.21].

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that $L = \mathbf{Z}\alpha$ is a rank one lattice, $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = n$ and n is add integer. Then V_L is vertex superalgebra. Moreover, if L is a positive definite (i.e. $n > 0$) then V_L is vertex operator superalgebra.*

Remark 3.1 *If $n < 0$, then relation (3.1) gives that V_L is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Z}$ -graded with the respect to L_0 and the weight subspaces are not bounded below. This implies that V_L is vertex superalgebra which is not vertex operator superalgebra (we follow the definitions from [DL] and [Li]).*

Remark 3.2 *If $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 1$, then V_L is SVOA which is isomorphic to the SVOA F constructed from two charged fermions. This fact is in conformal field theory known as boson-fermion correspondence. If $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 3$, then V_L is isomorphic to $N = 2$ SVOA L_c with $c = 1$ (cf. [K]).*

The following discussion is similar as in [DLM]. In [DLM] the authors considered the case of positive definite even lattice L when V_L is VOA. We are interested in the case when L is negative definite lattice of rank one.

Define the Schur polynomials $p_r(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ ($r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$) in variables $x_1, x_2, \dots$ by the following equation:

$$\exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{n} y^n \right) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} p_r(x_1, x_2, \dots) y^r. \quad (3.2)$$

For any monomial $x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots x_r^{n_r}$ we have an element $h(-1)^{n_1} h(-2)^{n_2} \dots h(-r)^{n_r} \mathbf{1}$ in V_L for $h \in \mathbf{h}$. Then for any polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $f(h(-1), h(-2), \dots) \mathbf{1}$ is a well-defined element in V_L . In particular, $p_r(h(-1), h(-2), \dots) \mathbf{1}$ for $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ are elements of V_L .

Suppose $a, b \in \hat{L}$ such that $\bar{a} = \alpha, \bar{b} = \beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\iota(a), z) \iota(b) &= z^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(-n)}{n} z^n \right) \iota(ab) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} p_r(\alpha(-1), \alpha(-2), \dots) \iota(ab) z^{r + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Thus

$$\iota(a)_i \iota(b) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq -\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle. \quad (3.4)$$

Especially, if $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \geq 0$, we have $\iota(a)_i \iota(b) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, and if $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = -n < 0$, we get

$$\iota(a)_{i-1} \iota(b) = p_{n-i}(\alpha(-1), \alpha(-2), \dots) \iota(ab) \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbf{Z}_+. \quad (3.5)$$

From now on we will assume that $L = \mathbf{Z}\alpha$ and $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = -1$.

Set $F_{-1} = V_L$. Let $a \in \hat{L}$ such that $\bar{a} = \alpha$. Set $e = \iota(a)$, $f = \iota(a^{-1})$, $k = \alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$. Set $e^n = \iota(a^n)$, $f^n = \iota(a^{-n})$.

The relations (3.4) and (3.5) in the case of vertex superalgebra F_{-1} give the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *The following relations hold in the vertex superalgebra F_{-1} .*

- (a) $e_i f = 0$ for $i \geq -1$, $e_{-2} f = \mathbf{1}$, $e_{-3} f = k$;
- (b) $f_i e = 0$ for $i \geq -1$, $f_{-2} e = \mathbf{1}$, $f_{-3} e = -k$;
- (c) $e_i e = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, $e_0 e = e^2$,
- (d) $f_i f = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, $f_0 f = f^2$,
- (e) $k_i e = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, $k_0 e = -e$,
- (f) $k_i f = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, $k_0 f = f$.

4 Embedding of $N = 2$ SVOA L_c into SVOA

$$F \otimes L(m, 0)$$

The tensor product of the SVOA F and the VOA $L(m, 0)$ is SVOA $F \otimes L(m, 0)$. We will show that the SVOA L_{c_m} can be realized as a subalgebra of the SVOA $F \otimes L(m, 0)$, where $c_m = \frac{3m}{m+2}$.

Define the following vectors in $F \otimes L(m, 0)$:

$$\tau^+ = \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^- \mathbf{1} \otimes e(-1) \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\tau^- = \frac{2}{m+2}, \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^- \mathbf{1} \otimes f(-1) \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$j = \frac{m}{m+2} \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^- \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{m+2} \mathbf{1} \otimes h(-1) \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu = & \frac{1}{m+2} \mathbf{1} \otimes e(-1) f(-1) \mathbf{1} - \frac{m}{m+2} \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \psi_{-\frac{3}{2}}^- \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \\ & - \frac{1}{m+2} \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^+ \psi_{-\frac{1}{2}}^- \mathbf{1} \otimes h(-1) \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Remark 4.1 *Our relations (4.1)-(4.4) are similar to relations (3.1)-(3.3) from [FST].*

The following lemma can be proved by direct calculations.

Lemma 4.1 *$F \otimes L(m, 0)$ is $N = 2$ SVOA, i.e. the component of the fields $Y(\tau^+, z)$, $Y(\tau^-, z)$, $Y(j, z)$ and $Y(\omega, z)$ span $N = 2$ superconformal algebra with the central charge $c = c_m$.*

Now, Corollary 1.1 and Lemma 4.1 imply that $F \otimes L(m, 0)$ is an $U(\mathcal{A})$ -module and $U(\mathcal{A}).(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ is a subalgebra of the SVOA $F \otimes L(m, 0)$ isomorphic to certain quotient of the SVOA V_{c_m} .

The mapping $U(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow F \otimes L(m, 0)$ is a special case of Kazama-Suzuki mapping considered by Feigin, Semikhatov and Tipunin in [FST]. They constructed functor between certain categories of $\hat{sl}_2$ -modules and $N = 2$ -modules. They showed that this functor is an equivalence of categories.

Applying this functor in our case we see that $U(\mathcal{A}).(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ is an irreducible $U(\mathcal{A})$ -module, and it is isomorphic to L_{c_m} . We get the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $m \neq -2$.*

- (1) *SVOA $L_{c_m} \cong U(\mathcal{A}).(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ is a subalgebra of SVOA $F \otimes L(m, 0)$.*
- (2) *Assume that M is $L(m, 0)$ -module. Then $F \otimes M$ is a module for the SVOA L_{c_m} .*

Remark 4.2 *The irreducibility of the submodule $U(\mathcal{A}).(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ was also proved in [EG, Appendix A] using the calculations of vacuum characters (see also [FSST]).*

5 Embedding of $\hat{sl}_2$ VOA $L(m, 0)$ into vertex superalgebra $L_c \otimes F_{-1}$.

In this section we will consider tensor product $N = 2$ SVOA L_c with the lattice vertex superalgebra F_{-1} . We will show that the simple VOA $L(m, 0)$ is a subalgebra of $L_c \otimes F_{-1}$. Our construction is the vertex operator algebra interpretation of the 'anti'-Kazama-Suzuki mapping which are considered in [FST].

Let $m \in \mathbf{C}$, $m \neq -2$. Recall the definition of $e, f \in F_{-1}$ from Section 3. Set

$$x = G_{-\frac{3}{2}}^+ \mathbf{1} \otimes f, \quad (5.1)$$

$$y = \frac{m+2}{2} G_{-\frac{3}{2}}^- \mathbf{1} \otimes e, \quad (5.2)$$

$$h = -m \mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha(-1) \mathbf{1} + (m+2) T_{-1} \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}. \quad (5.3)$$

Remark 5.1 *The relations (5.1)-(5.3) are similar to relation (3.13) from [FST].*

Set $Y(x, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} x(n) z^{-n-1}$, $Y(y, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} y(n) z^{-n-1}$, $Y(h, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} h(n) z^{-n-1}$. Then

$$x(n) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} G_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^+ \otimes f_{n-i-2}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$y(n) = \frac{m+2}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} G_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^- \otimes e_{n-i-2}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$h(n) = -m \mathbf{Id} \otimes \alpha(n) + (m+2) T_n \otimes \mathbf{Id}. \quad (5.6)$$

Relations (5.4)-(5.6) and Proposition 3.1 imply the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1

- (a) $x(n)x = 0, \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \quad y(n)y = 0 \forall n \in \mathbf{Z}_+,$
- (b) $x(n)y = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 2, \quad x(1)y = m\mathbf{1}, \quad x(0)y = h,$
- (c) $h(n)x = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1, \quad h(0)x = 2x,$
- (d) $h(n)y = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 1, \quad h(0)y = -2y,$
- (e) $h(n)h = 0 \text{ for } n \geq 2, \quad h(1)h = 2m\mathbf{1}, \quad h(0)y = 0.$

Lemma 5.1 implies that $L_{c_m} \otimes F_{-1}$ is a module for affine Lie algebra $\hat{sl}_2$ at the level m . The mapping $U(\hat{sl}_2) \rightarrow L_{c_m} \otimes F_{-1}$ is a spacial case of 'anti'-Kazama-Suzuki mapping considered in [FST]. This mapping gives a functor from the category of $N = 2$ -modules to the category of $\hat{sl}_2$ -modules. By using properties of this functor we get that $U(\hat{sl}_2)(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ is an irreducible $U(\hat{sl}_2)$ -module isomorphic to $L(m, 0)$.

We have obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $m \in \mathbf{C}$, $m \neq -2$, and $c = c_m$.*

- (1) *VOA $L(m, 0) \cong U(\hat{sl}_2)(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ is a subalgebra of vertex superalgebra $L_c \otimes F_{-1}$.*
- (2) *Assume that M is a module for SVOA L_{c_m} . Then $M \otimes F_{-1}$ is a module for VOA $L(m, 0)$.*

6 Modules for SVOA L_c

The representation theory of the SVOA L_c with the central charge $c = c_m$ is interesting only in the case when m is an admissible rational number. Otherwise, $L_c = V_c$ and every highest weight $\mathcal{A}$ -module is an L_c -module. In this section we will present a construction of certain set of modules for the SVOA L_c with the central charge $c = c_m$. This construction is based on the realization of the SVOA L_c as a subalgebra of the tensor product SVOA $F \otimes L(m, 0)$ and the representation theory of the simple VOA $L(m, 0)$. When $m \in \mathbf{N}$ then VOA $L(m, 0)$ has finitely many irreducible representations, and we will obtain only finitely many irreducible representations for the SVOA L_{c_m} . When m is admissible rational number and $m \notin \mathbf{Q}$ then VOA $L(m, 0)$

has uncountably many irreducible representations (see Section 2), and we will get uncountably many irreducible L_c -representations.

For an irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -module M , the top level M_0 is an irreducible $U(sl_2)$ -module.

Now the relations (4.1)-(4.4) imply the following lemma (see also [EG, Section 4]).

Lemma 6.1 *Let M be any $L(m, 0)$ -module and $w \in M_0$ such that $h(0)w = \beta w$, and $\Omega w = \gamma w$, for every $w \in M_0$. Then $U(\mathcal{A})(\mathbf{1} \otimes w)$ is a highest weight $U(\mathcal{A})$ -module with the highest weight h, q, c_m , where*

$$h = \frac{\gamma}{2(m+2)} - \frac{\beta^2}{4(m+2)} \quad q = \frac{-\beta}{m+2}. \quad (6.1)$$

Moreover, L_{h,q,c_m} is an irreducible L_{c_m} -module.

For every $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $m = \frac{t}{u}$ admissible and $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$ we define

$$h_{i,r} = \frac{r(r+2)}{4(m+2)} - \frac{(r-2i)^2}{4(m+2)},$$

$$q_{i,r} = \frac{-(r-2i)}{m+2}.$$

Set $N = 2u + t - 2$. Note that if $m \in \mathbf{N}$, then $N = m$. Define the following finite set

$$W^{c_m} = \{(h_{i,r}, q_{i,r}) \mid 0 \leq r \leq N, 0 \leq i \leq r\}.$$

Assume now that M is an irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -module such that M_0 is finite-dimensional. Then $M_0 \cong V(r\omega_1)$ for certain $r \in \{0, \dots, N\}$. Then for every weight vector $w \in M_0$ such that $h(0)w = \beta w$ we have that $\beta = r - 2i$ for certain $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. Now Lemma 6.1 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1 *Assume that $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ is admissible. Then for every $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$, the $\mathcal{A}$ -module L_{h,q,c_m} is the irreducible L_{c_m} -module.*

Remark 6.1 *Theorem 6.1 shows that starting from $L(m, 0)$ -modules M such that M_0 is finite-dimensional we can construct only finitely many irreducible modules for SVOA L_{c_m} .*

We shall now give another parametrisation of the set W^{c_m} .

Let $\mathbf{N}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots\}$. Set

$$j = i + \frac{1}{2} \quad k = r + \frac{1}{2} - i.$$

Then we have that

$$j, k \in \mathbf{N}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 0 < j, k, j + k \leq N + 1,$$

and obtain

$$h_{i,r} = \frac{jk - \frac{1}{4}}{m + 2}, \quad q_{i,r} = \frac{j - k}{m + 2}.$$

We get

$$W^{c_m} = \left\{ \left(\frac{jk - \frac{1}{4}}{m + 2}, \frac{j - k}{m + 2} \right) \mid j, k \in \mathbf{N}_{\frac{1}{2}}, 0 < j, k, j + k \leq N + 1 \right\},$$

In the case $m \in \mathbf{N}$ this is exactly the parametrisation of the unitary discrete series of $N = 2$ minimal models (see [D]). So, Theorem 6.1 shows that every unitary minimal model is a module for SVOA L_{c_m} .

Now we assume that $m = \frac{t}{u}$ is admissible and $m \notin \mathbf{N}$. Then the irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -modules are given in Theorem 2.4. Starting from $L(m, 0)$ -modules M such that M_0 is finite-dimensional we constructed finitely many irreducible L_{c_m} -modules L_{h,q,c_m} , $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$. Now we consider the case when M_0 is infinite-dimensional.

Proposition 6.1 *Assume that $r \in S^m \setminus \mathbf{Z}$, and that (h, q) satisfies the equation*

$$q^2 + \frac{4h}{m + 2} = \frac{r(r + 2)}{(m + 2)^2}. \quad (6.2)$$

Then L_{h,q,c_m} is an irreducible L_{c_m} -module.

Proof. Assume that (h, q) satisfies the equation (6.2). Set $\beta = -q(m + 2)$. By using Corollary 2.1 we get that there is a $L(m, 0)$ -module M and the weight vector $w \in M_0$ such that

$$\Omega|_{M_0} = \frac{r(r + 2)}{2} \text{Id}, \quad h(0)w = \beta w.$$

Since (h, q) satisfies (6.2), we get

$$q = -\frac{\beta}{m+2}, \quad h = \frac{\frac{r(r+2)}{2}}{2(m+2)} - \frac{\beta^2}{4(m+2)}.$$

Now Lemma 6.1 implies that L_{h,q,c_m} is a L_{c_m} -module. Irreducibility is clear. $\square$

Define the following set

$$D^{c_m} = \left\{ (h, q) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \mid q^2 + \frac{4h}{m+2} = \frac{r(r+2)}{(m+2)^2}, \quad r \in S^m \setminus \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 6.1 give the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2 *Assume that $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ is admissible and $(h, q) \in W^{c_m} \cup D^{c_m}$. Then L_{h,q,c_m} is an irreducible L_{c_m} -module.*

Remark 6.2 *Theorem 6.2 implies that for every admissible nonintegral m , the SVOA L_{c_m} has uncountably many non-isomorphic irreducible modules. These modules can be parametrized as the union of finitely many rational curves in $\mathbf{C}^2$. So, we have constructed uncountably many irreducible modules over $N = 2$ superconformal algebra which are annihilated with the fields $Y(v, z)$, where v is an vector from the maximal submodule of V_{c_m} . This gives an difference with the case of Virasoro (cf. [W]) and Neveu-Schwarz algebra (cf. [A]) where there exists only finitely many such irreducible modules.*

7 Classification of irreducible L_{c_m} -modules

In this section we will give the complete classification of the irreducible L_{c_m} -modules. We will prove that L_{c_m} -modules constructed in Section 6 gives all irreducible modules for SVOA L_{c_m} . The proof of the classification result will use the fact that VOA $L(m, 0)$ is a subalgebra of SVOA $L_{c_m} \otimes F_{-1}$ -modules and the classification of all irreducible $L(m, 0)$ -modules obtained in [AM].

Let $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{sl}_2$ as before.

Lemma 7.1 *Let $c = c_m$ for m admissible. Assume that L_{h,q,c_m} is a L_{c_m} -module. Then we have that*

$$\frac{4h}{m+2} + q^2 = \frac{r(r+2)}{(m+2)^2}$$

for certain $r \in S^m$.

Proof. Assume that $L_{h,q,c}$ is L_c -module. Let $v_{h,q,c}$ be the highest weight vector in $L_{h,q,c}$. Since $L(m, 0)$ is a subalgebra of $L_c \otimes F_{-1}$ (Theorem 5.1), we have that $L_{h,q,c} \otimes F_{-1}$ is a module for VOA $L(m, 0)$ -module. In particular $M = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ is an $L(m, 0)$ -module. Set $M_0 = U(\mathfrak{g})(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1})$. Since

$$(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^n)M_0 = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

we conclude that the top level of $L(m, 0)$ -module M is $M_0 = U(\mathfrak{g})(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1})$. Then the representation theory of VOA $L(m, 0)$ (see Theorem 2.4) easily implies that M_0 is an irreducible $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module which is isomorphic to one of the following modules :

$$V(r\omega_1), V(r\omega_1)^*, E_{r,s} \quad \text{for } r \in S^m, (r, s) \in T^m.$$

Let $\Omega = x(0)y(0) + y(0)x(0) + \frac{1}{2}h(0)^2$ be the Casimir. Then Lemma 2.1 imply that

$$\Omega|M_0 = \frac{r(r+2)}{2} \text{Id} \quad \text{for certain } r \in S^m. \quad (7.1)$$

Let $w \in M_0$. Then there is $f \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $w = f(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1})$. Since the action of Ω commutes with $U(\mathfrak{g})$, then from relations (5.4)-(5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega w &= f(\Omega(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1})) \\ &= f(x(0)y(0) + y(0)x(0) + \frac{1}{2}h(0)^2)(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1}) \\ &= (2(m+2)h + \frac{1}{2}(m+2)^2q^2)w. \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

So, we have proved

$$\Omega|M_0 = (2(m+2)h + \frac{1}{2}(m+2)^2q^2) \text{Id}. \quad (7.3)$$

Now from (7.1) and (7.3) follow that

$$\frac{4h}{m+2} + q^2 = \frac{r(r+2)}{(m+2)^2}$$

for certain $r \in S^m$. $\square$

Lemma 7.2 *Assume that L_{h,q,c_m} is L_{c_m} -module and*

$$\frac{4h}{m+2} + q^2 = \frac{r(r+2)}{(m+2)^2} \quad (7.4)$$

for $r \in S^m \cap \mathbf{Z}_+$. Then $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$.

Proof. Let $M = U(\hat{\mathbf{g}})(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ be a $L(m, 0)$ -module as in the proof of Lemma 7.1. Since in the relation (7.4) we have $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, we conclude that M_0 is an irreducible finite-dimensional $U(\mathbf{g})$ -module isomorphic to $V(r\omega_1)$. This implies that the vector $v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ is a weight vector of $V(r\omega_1)$, i.e.

$$h(0).(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1}) = (r - 2i)(v_{h,q,c} \otimes \mathbf{1})$$

for certain $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. Applying the formulae (5.6) for the action of $h(0)$ on $L_{h,q,c} \otimes F_{-1}$ we get $q = \frac{r-2i}{m+2}$. Now the relation (7.4) implies that $h = \frac{r(r+2)}{4(m+2)} - \frac{(r-2i)^2}{4(m+2)}$, and we conclude that $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$. $\square$

Theorem 7.1 *Assume that $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $c = c_m$. Then the set*

$$\{L_{h,q,c} \mid (h, q) \in W^{c_m}\}$$

provides all L_c irreducible modules for the SVOA L_c . So, irreducible L_c -modules are exactly all unitary modules for $N = 2$ superconformal algebra with the central charge c .

Proof. We proved in Theorem 6.1 that for every $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$, $L_{h,q,c}$ is a L_c -module. It remains to prove that if $L_{h,q,c}$ is a L_c -module, then $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$.

Assume now that $L_{h,q,c}$ is a L_c -module. Then Lemma 7.1 implies that

$$\frac{4h}{m+2} + q^2 = \frac{r(r+2)}{(m+2)^2}$$

for certain $r \in S^m$. Since $S^m \subset \mathbf{Z}_+$ for $m \in \mathbf{N}$, we have that $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. Now Lemma 7.2 implies that $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$. $\square$

Remark 7.1 *Theorem 7.1 shows that SVOA L_{c_m} for $m \in \mathbf{N}$ has exactly $\frac{(m+2)(m+1)}{2}$ non-isomorphic irreducible modules.*

Theorem 7.2 *Assume that $m \in \mathbf{Q}$ is admissible such that $m \notin \mathbf{N}$. Let $c = c_m$. Then the set*

$$\{L_{h,q,c} \mid (h, q) \in W^{c_m} \cup D^{c_m}\}$$

provides all L_c irreducible modules for the SVOA L_c .

Proof. Theorem 6.2 gives that $L_{h,q,c}$ is a L_c -module for every $(h, q) \in W^{c_m} \cup D^{c_m}$. In order to prove theorem we have to prove that if $L_{h,q,c}$ is a L_c -module, then $(h, q) \in W^{c_m} \cup D^{c_m}$.

Assume now that $L_{h,q,c}$ is a L_c -module. Then Lemma 7.1 implies that

$$\frac{4h}{m+2} + q^2 = \frac{r(r+2)}{(m+2)^2}$$

for certain $r \in S^m$. If $r \in S^m \setminus \mathbf{Z}$, then $(h, q) \in D^{c_m}$. If $r \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, then from Lemma 7.2 follows that $(h, q) \in W^{c_m}$. So, we get $(h, q) \in W^{c_m} \cup D^{c_m}$. $\square$

References

- [A] D. Adamović, Rationality of Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras, International Mathematics Research Notices, No. 17 (1997), 865-874
- [AM] D. Adamović, A. Milas, Vertex operator algebras associated to the modular invariant representations for $A_1^{(1)}$, Mathematical Research Letters 2(1995), 563-575,
- [D] M. Dorrzäpf, The embedding structure of unitary $N = 2$ modules, hep-th/9712165
- [DL] C. Y. Dong, J. Lepowsky, Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993
- [DLM] C. Y. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Certain associative algebras similar to $U(sl_2)$ and Zhu's algebra $A(V_L)$, J. Algebra 196 (1997) 532-551
- [DLM2] C. Y. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason, Vertex operator algebras associated to admissible representations of $\hat{sl}_2$, Comm. Math. Phys 184, No. 1, (1997), 65-93

- [EG] W. Eholzer and M. R. Gaberdiel, Unitarity of rational $N = 2$ superconformal theories, Commun. Math. Phys. 186 (1997) 61-85
- [FST] B. L. Feigin, A. M. Semikhatov, I. Yu. Tipunin, Equivalence between chain categories of representations of affine $sl(2)$ and $N = 2$ superconformal algebras, hep-th/9701043
- [FSST] B. L. Feigin, A. M. Semikhatov, V. A. Sirota, I. Yu. Tipunin, Resolutions and characters of irreducible representations of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra, hep-th/9805179
- [K] V. G. Kac, Vertex Algebras for Beginners, University Lecture Series, AMS, vol. 10 (1997)
- [KW] V. G. Kac, M. Wakimoto, Modular invariant representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras, Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA **85**,1988, 4956-4960
- [KWn] V. G. Kac, W. Q. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations, Contemporary Math , **Vol. 175** (1994), 161-191.
- [Li] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. 109 (1996), 143–195.
- [P] M. Primc, Vertex algebras generated by Lie algebras, to appear in J. Pure and Appl. Alg.
- [ST] A. M. Semikhatov, I. Yu. Tipunin, All singular vectors of $N = 2$ superconformal algebra via the algebraic continuation approach, hep-th/9604176
- [W] W. Q. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro Vertex operator algebra, Duke Math.J. IMRN, Vol **71**, No.1 (1993), 197-211
- [Z] Y. C. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras, modular forms and elliptic curves, PhD Thesis, Yale University, 1990

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, Bijenička 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
 E-mail address: adamovic@cromath.math.hr